PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:			·	PCT			
	see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
Inter	national application T/GB2004/00450		International filing date (25.10.2004	dayimonthiyear)	Priority date (day-month-year) 23.10.2003		
	B31/00, E21B28	3/00	both national classification				
	icant DERGAUGE LIM						
. 1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items:			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
	Box No. Ⅱ	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishr	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability		
:	☐ Box No. IV	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited				
i	☐ Box No. VII		in the international app				
:	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application			
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON					
	written opinion of	f the Internations poses an Authori eau under Rule	al Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to	Authority ("IPEA" be the IPEA and t	will usually be considered to be a). However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority		
	submit to the IPE	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to nents, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,					
	For further option	is, see Form PC	TASA/220.				
3.	For further details	s, see notes to F	orm PCT/ISA/220.				
		s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Morrish, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7220



201576884

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No-PCT/GB2004/004503

4520 RESULTION 24 APR 2006

_	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion					
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in inguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With r	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material:		nat of material:					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
c. time of filing/furnishing:		of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto's been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additional comments:						

1

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004503

_	Вох	No. II	Priority							
1.	Ø	The following document has not been furnished:								
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).								
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).								
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.									
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority documen was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
4.	Additional observations, if necessary:									
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement										
 1.		ement								
	Maria	nite (NI)		Vac	Claims	4.5.9-47.50-79				
	Novelty (N			No:	Claims	1-3,6-8,48,49				
	laa.	atio a at	on (IS)	Voc:	Claims	9-47				
	Inventive step (IS)		No:	Claims	1-8,48-79					
	بيامما	atrial au	onlinghiliby (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-79				
	Industrial applicability (IA)		No:	Claims	170					
				110.	Camb					

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004503

V - Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Although claims 48 and 49 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

The document **D1: US-A-4 890 682** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of **claim 1**, this document discloses a method of running a bore-lining tubing string into a bore, the method comprising running a tubing string into a bore while agitating the string to reduce the friction between the string and the bore wall and facilitate the translation of the string into the bore.

Although the disclosure of **D1** is directed towards a drill string that is to be moved through the wellbore in case friction between the borehole wall and the drill string is high, there is no reason why the term "drill string" should not be limited to include casing. Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new and said claim does not meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty.

D1 also discloses the subject-matter of claims 2, 3, 6 to 8 (see columns 1 and 2) and therefore the subject-matter of said claims is not new.

In addition, **D2: EP-A-0 461 321** discloses a method whereby the vibrational energy is translated into the annulus surrounding the casing which acts to loosen the cement. As **D1** in combination with **D2** also discloses the subject-matter of **claims 4 and 5**, said claims do not meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step.

A second method of drilling using a agitator is disclosed by a second embodiment in **D1**. However, the skilled man would not be able to combine these two embodiments with the disclosure of **D2** in order to solve the problem of providing a method that uses vibrational or agitational energy in order to advance the wellbore as well as introduce cement into the annulus of the well bore. The subject-matter of **claims 9 onward** appears to relate to a method of operating an agitator in conjunction with a rotary drilling system to allow the casing to be simultaneously advanced as well as agitated. Therefore, the subject-matter of **claims 9 to 47** appears to show the inventive step as

į

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004503

required by Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject-matter of **claims 48 and 49** relates to methods of cementing a bore-lining tubing string in a well bore whereby the tubing string is agitated or having pressure pulses applied to it while the cement is being pumped. This method is entirely disclosed by **D2** and therefore the subject-matter of said claims is not new.

In addition, the subject-matter of **claims 50 to 79** is disclosed in the second embodiment of **D1** (see columns 2 to 4) and therefore, although said claims are new, they do not meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step.